DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 328 734

CE 056 912

AUTHOR

Berns, Robert G.

TITLE

The Relationship between Vocational Education Teacher

Job Satisfaction and Teacher Retention Using

Discriminant Analysis.

PUB DATE

1 Dec 90

NOTE

34p.; Paper presented at the Annual Convention of the

American Vocational Association (Cincinnati, OH,

December 1990).

PUB TYPE

Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Reports -

Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE

MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS

Administrator Role; Career Change; *Career Planning; Classification; Discriminant Analysis; Employment Level; Employment Patterns; Job Performance; *Job Satisfaction; Role Perception; Secondary Education; Self Evaluation (Individuals); Teacher Administrator Relationship; Teacher Effoctiveness; Manager Perception

Relationship; Teacher Effectiveness; Teacher Expectations of Students; *Teacher Persistence; Teacher Retirement; *Vocational Education Teachers

IDENTIFIERS

*Ohio (Northwest)

ABSTRACT

A study explored the relationship between job satisfaction and teacher turnover of practicing and former vocational education teachers in Northwest Ohio. Factor analysis, discriminant analysis, and an instrument with 38 job satisfaction indicator statements were used to determine these relationships. A total of 745 of 1,025 practicing teachers and 116 of 381 former teachers responded. The practicing teachers, retired teachers, and former teachers who left for reasons other than retirement generally expressed job satisfaction. Each group disagreed with certain job satisfaction indicator statements. The factor analysis resulted in nine factors being identified: public perceptions, school support, self-perception, expectations, job satisfaction, job challenge, job effectiveness, effort, and status. Differences were found between former teachers who retired and former teachers who left their teaching positions for reasons other than retirement. Administrators may have an important effect on whether a teacher continues in that teaching position. The discriminant analysis classified practicing teachers according to whether or not they remain in teaching until retirement and resulted in a misclassification probability of 12.5%. Comparing self-report data in career plans of practicing teachers with the data from the classification procedure, differences emerged. The job satisfaction instrument should determine whether a teacher continues in that position rather than relying on self-report. Six references and nine detailed statistical tables (21 pages) are provided. (NLA)

 The Relationship Between Vocational Education Teacher Job Satisfaction and Teacher Retention Using Discriminant Analysis

Robert G. Berns
Bowling Green State University
Marketing Education Program
Department of Business Education
Bowling Green, Ohio 43403
(419)372-8039

American Vocational Education Research Association Research Session American Vocational Association Conference Cincinnati, Ohio December 1, 1990

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy "PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE UCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."



Abstract

This study explored the relationship between job satisfaction and teacher turnover. The level of job satisfaction of practicing and former vocational education teachers in Northwest Ohio was determined using an instrument with 38 job satisfaction indicator statements. Factor analysis and discriminant analysis were also used. 745 out of 1,025 practicing teachers and 116 out of 381 former teachers responded. The practicing teachers, retired teachers, and former teachers who left for reasons other than retirement generally expressed job satisfaction. Each group disagreed however with certain job satisfaction indicator statements. The factor analysis resulted in nine factors being extracted. Based on those factors, differences were found between former teachers who retired, and former teachers who left their teaching positions for reasons other than retirement. Administrators should realize that, as administrators, they may have an important effect on whether a teacher continues in that teaching position. The discriminant analysis allowed the researcher to classify practicing teachers according to whether or not that individual is likely to remain in that teaching position until retirement. The discriminant analysis performed on the data set of all former teachers resulted in a misclassification probability of 12.5%. Comparing self-report data on career plans of practicing teachers with the data from the classification procedure, clear differences emerged. It may be more reliable to use the job satisfaction instrument to determine the likelihood of a teacher continuing in that position than asking the individual outright.



The Relationship Between Vocational Education Teacher Job

Satisfaction and Teacher Retention Using Discriminant Analysis

Introduction and Statement of Problem

Teacher supply and demand generally in this country has been out of balance throughout much of this century (Weber, 1982). This is evident in Ohio where the state's Department of Education has reported that most program areas in secondary vocational education are experiencing a shortage of qualified teachers.

Since secondary vocational education in Ohio is not experiencing overall growth, a retention problem seems to be evident. Each year, individuals from business and industry must be recruited by the schools. This presents a major financial burden to state and local educational agencies which must fund inservice certification classes and supervision.

Why do vocational education teachers leave teaching? One variable that may be related to vocational education teacher turnover is job satisfaction. This study attempted to explore the relationship between job satisfaction and teacher turnover. The specific questions to be answered by the research were:

- 1. To what extent are practicing and former secondary vocational education teachers in Northwest Ohio satisfied with their current or former teaching positions?
- 2. To what extent do practicing and former secondary vocational education teachers agree with each of 38 job satisfaction indicator statements?
 - 3. What are the career plans of practicing secondary vocational



4

education teachers in Northwest Ohio?

- 4. Using former secondary vocational education teachers' responses to 38 job satisfaction indicator statements, what factors can be extracted to explain those indicators?
- 5. Based on the extracted factors, what differences exist between former teachers who retired, and former teachers who left their teaching positions for reasons other than retirement?
- 6. What procedure can be developed using discriminant analysis which would help in classifying a practicing teacher according to whether or not that individual is likely to remain in that teaching position until retirement?
- 7. Applying the classification procedure developed using discriminant analysis on the practicing teacher data set, what percentage of practicing teachers are likely to leave their teaching positions prior to retirement, and what percentage are likely to stay in their positions until retirement?
- 8. Using the data generated by the classification procedure, and the self-report data regarding the plans of practicing teachers, how closely do the data compare?

Related Literature

A host of reasons for individuals leaving teaching were found in the literature. They include teachers getting married and devoting time to raise children, less-than-desirable salaries and benefits, an inadequate school environment, loss of enthusiasm for teaching and students, and other factors (Olson, 1990). Dissatisfaction with their teaching positions was especially prominent in the literature.



According to the literature, in general, teachers traditionally have not been discontent. A variety of studies conducted in the 1970's and 80's found over 80% of teachers being satisfied or very satisfied with their jobs. However, some recent evidence suggests a gradual reduction in teacher satisfaction (Hoy & Miskel, 1987; Gorton, 1982; Bentzen, Williams, & Heckman, 1980)).

Although research studies were found that explored the relationship between vocational education teacher job satisfaction and performance (Grady & Burnett, 1985), no studies were found that focused on the relationship between vocational education teacher job satisfaction and retention.

Research Methods and Procedures

All vocational education teachers in Northwest Ohio at the time of data collection (1988-89), and all former vocational education teachers in Northwest Ohio who left their teaching positions between 1986-88, served as the population for this study. Of the practicing teachers, 745 out of 1,025 (72.7%) responded with useable instruments. After following a complicated procedure for contacting former teachers, 116 responses were received out of approximately 381 possible (30.4%). Of the respondents, 36 had retired and 80 had left teaching for other reasons.

A follow up of non-responding practicing teachers and non-responding former teachers was conducted. A random sample of non-respondents were contacted by telephone and asked to complete part of the instrument.

Analysis found no significant differences between respondents and non-respondents contacted in this manner.



The instrument was developed by the researcher. Indicators of job satisfaction were determined from a review of the literature and from in-depth interviewing of current and former teachers. The instrument was validated by 15 vocational educators. A reliability check found a Cronbach Alpha of .9341.

Findings and Conclusions

Findings

The practicing teachers, retired teachers, and former teachers who left for reasons other than retirement generally agreed with the 38 job satisfaction indicator statements, thereby expressing general job satisfaction. Each group tended to disagreed however with certain job satisfaction indicator statements.

As presented in Table 1, the practicing teachers agreed the most with the following two statements:

- 1. I like teaching (statement 15; $\overline{X} = 3.57/4.00$).
- 2. I feel competent in my teaching position (statement 27; \overline{X} = 3.54). The practicing teachers agreed the least with the following six statements:
- 1. Appropriate students are placed in my classes (statement 11; \overline{X} = 2.39).
 - 2. The salary of this job is adequate (statement 23; \overline{X} = 2.29).
- 3. Adequate promotional opportunities in education exist (statement 24; $\overline{X} = 2.12$).
 - 4. Soci. has realistic expectations of me (statement 25; $\overline{X} = 2.41$).
- 5. Teachers have appropriate professional status within society (statement 26; $\overline{X} = 1.99$).



6. If I come into enough money so that I can live comfortably without working, I will not quit my job (statement 31; \overline{X} = 2.35).

Table 2 includes the agreement levels of retired teachers to the same statements. These former teachers agreed the most with the following:

- 1. That job offered adequate challenges (statement 5; $\overline{X} = 3.60$).
- 2. I was satisfied with the location of that school (statement B; \overline{X} = 3.63).
 - 3. I liked teaching (statement 15; $\bar{X} = 3.71$).
- 4. I was not bored in that teaching position (statement 16; \overline{X} = 3.57).
 - 5. I did not feel isolated (statement 17; $\bar{X} = 3.60$).
 - 6. I was satisfied with that job (statement 18; \overline{X} = 3.66).
 - 7. I felt competent in my teaching position (statement 27; $\overline{X} = 3.77$).
- 8. I felt adequately prepared to teach that program (statement 29; $\overline{X} = 3.54$).

The retired teachers agreed the least with the statement, "The administrators in my school were strong" (statement 37; \overline{X} = 2.48).

As can be seen in Table 3, the former teachers who left teaching for reasons other than retirement agreed the most with the following statements:

- 1. I liked teaching (statement 15; $\overline{X} = 3.68$).
- 2. I felt competent in my teaching position (statement 27; $\overline{X} = 3.65$).
- 3. I felt adequately prepared to teach that program (statement 27; $\bar{X}=3.60$).

This group of for teachers agreed the least with the following:



- 1. Student discipline was fine (statement 13; $\bar{X} = 2.43$).
- 2. Teachers have appropriate professional status within society (statement 26; $\tilde{X} = 2.36$).
- 3. I felt appreciated by administrators for my work (statement 35; $\bar{x} = 2.43$).
- 4. I was provided adequate administrative support and backing (statement 36; $\overline{X} = 2.51$).
- 5. The administrators in my school were strong (statement 37; \overline{X} = 2.42).
 - 7. I felt encouragement for my initiatives (statement 38; $\bar{X} = 2.43$).

When asked for their career plans, 78.1% of the practicing teachers indicated that they plan to continue teaching in their positions until retirement. The remaining 21.9% plan to leave prior to retirement.

A factor analysis was performed on the 3B job satisfaction indicators using the data set for all former teachers. Based on prior expectations, nine factors were extracted, which appear in Table 4. A MultiVariate Analysis of Variance was then performed on the nine factors. Those teachers who left teaching for retirement were significantly more satisfied with the support by school personnel, satisfaction with that teaching position, and the challenge of that teaching position than former teachers who left their positions for reasons other than retirement (see Table 5).

A discriminant analysis was performed on the data set of all former teachers. The classification variable was whether or not the former teacher left their teaching position for retirement. The object of this analysis was to devise a procedure which would help in classifying an



individual according to whether (a) the teacher is likely to leave that teaching position before retirement, or (b) the teacher is likely to teach in that teaching position until retirement (see Table 6 for means and standard deviations for discriminating variables and Table ? for summary data for the discriminant analysis).

Applying that classification procedure on the data set for former teachers, the procedure did reasonably well. The misclassification probability is 12.5% (see Table 8).

The classification procedure was then applied to the data set for practicing teachers. This classification was compared to the answers of the practicing teachers to the question, "As far as you know, will you continue teaching in your position until retirement?" The self-report data are quite different than the data from the classification procedure. The vast majority of respondents (78.1%) indicated that they plan to continue in their teaching positions until retirement, quite a contrast from the 45.4% figure generated by the classification procedure (see Table 9).

Although the practicing teachers and former teachers participating in this study generally expressed job satisfaction, they indicated they are not satisfied with certain aspects of their teaching positions. Although they indicated they like teaching and feel competent in their positions, the practicing teachers are concerned with conditions related to teaching as a profession. They are dissatisfied with their salaries, promotional opportunities, students they are serving, societal expectations, and status within society.



Former teachers expressed concern with their school administration.

Both retired teachers and former teachers who left their positions for reasons other than retirement seem dissatisfied with the strength of the administrators in their schools. The non-retired former teachers also did not feel appreciated by their administrators, did not feel encouragement for their initiatives, and were dissatisfied with student discipline.

The classification procedure based upon the former teacher data set seems to determine in 87.5% of the cases whether or not a current Northwest Ohio vocational education teacher will continue teaching in that position until retirement. By administering the 38-item instrument to a vocational education teacher, the likelihood of that teacher remaining in that job until retirement can be determined. Caution should be taken however. Even though in this particular study, an 87.5% accuracy was achieved, other variables could play upon the accuracy of this predictive measure.

Implications and Recommendations

Even though teachers seem generally satisfied with their teaching positions in Northwest Ohio, administrators should consider the aspects of teaching with which teachers expressed dissatisfaction. They should also realize that, as administrators, they may have an important effect on whether a teacher continues in that teaching position.

One suggestion for usage of the classification procedure created by this study is to administer the instrument to groups of teachers. This will offer school administrators a view of the general job satisfaction within the school, and the likelihood that the teaching staff generally will remain in teaching until retirement.



Caution is also warranted when asking teachers for their career plans. It appears that using this classification procedure will give a more reliable answer to the question, "Will this teacher continue in this teaching position until retirement?" than merely asking the teachers for their plans outright.

So job satisfaction seems to have a relationship with teacher retention. The information presented herein should help school and state administrators retain the best teachers available, and plan for future teacher needs.

References

- Bentzen, M.M., Williams, R.C., & Heckman, P. (1980). A study of schooling: Adult experiences in schools. Phi Delta Kappan, 61, 394-397.
- Gorton, R.A. (1982). Teacher satisfaction. In H.E. Mitzel, J.H.

 Best, and W. Rabinowitz (Eds.), <u>Encyclopedia of Educational</u>

 <u>Research</u> (5th ed., p. 1904). New York: The Free Press

 Division of Macmillan Book Co.
- Grady, T.L., & Burnett, M.F. (1985). The relationship between job satisfaction and performance of vocational agriculture teachers. The Journal of Vocational Education Research, 10(3), 53-69.
- Hoy, W. K., & Miskel, C. G. (1987). <u>Educational administration</u>

 theory, research, and practice (3rd ed.). New York: Random House.
- Olson, L. (1990, April 25). Teacher attrition rate much lower



than assumed, new surveys find. Education Week, pp. 1, 12.

Weber, M.B. (1982). Teacher supply and demand. In H.E. Mitzel,

J.H. Best, and W. Rabinowitz (Eds.), Encyclopedia of

Educational Research (5th ed., p. 1922). New York: The Free Press

Division of Macmillan Book Co.



table continues

Table 1

Job Satisfaction of Secondary Northwest Ohio Vocational Educators
by Job Satisfaction Indicators

				Per	cent			
	Indicator	n	Strongly Disagree		Agree	Strongly Agree	a Mean	Std. Dev.
The.	ir Assignments							
1.	I am not assigned							
	too many school							
	duties.	739	10.7	24.8	50.1	14.5	2.67	.8
2.	My classes are not							
_	too large.	739	5.1	8.9	59.5	26.4	3.06	. 7
3.						•		
	priate extracurric-				69.7		0 00	
	ular activities.	714	5.9	14.1	69./	10.2	2.83	. 6
4.	My classes are not too small.	738	3.0	12.3	60.6	24.1	3 05	. 6
a	ributes of the Job nd School							
5.	This job offers							
	adequate challenges.	742	.8	8.6	67.1	23.5	3.13	. 5
5.	The facilities, equipment, materials, and/or supplies are							
	adequate.	739	7.6	18.5	52.6	21.2	2.86	.8
7.	The hours of this							
	job are satisfactory.	733	3.4	15.1	65.6	15.8	2.93	.6
8.	I am satisfied with							
	the location of this							
_	school.	738	8.8	5.1	56.8	37.3	3.31	. 5
9.								
	paration time re-							
	quired for this program is fair.	737	6.0	26.2	62.1	5.7	2.66	. 6
		1.5	, 53.L)	40-4	04.1	3./	4.00	. 17



	Indicator	n	Strongly Disagree		ydrae	Strongly Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
10.	What is expected of me is realistic.	735	3.8	19.9	68.3	8.0	2.79	.63
Stu	dents							
11.	Appropriate students							
12.	are placed in my classes. I feel appreciated	728	14.0	36.0	46.6	3.4	2.39	.77
	by students for my work. Student discipline	739	2.2	22.1	63.5	12.3	2.86	.64
	is fine. Students are inter-	731	12.0	29.7	47.7	10.5	2.56	.84
	ested in what I teach.	729	1.9	11.9	75.0	11.1	2.95	.55
Tea	ching							
	I like teaching. I am not bored in this teaching	743	.3	1.6	38.4	59.8	3.57	.54
17.	position. I do not feel	739	2.4	9.7	39.6	48.2	3.34	.75
	isolated. I am satisfied	739	4.6	19.8	49.0	26.7	2.98	.81
	with this job. I do not feel vul-	735	1.4	12.9	58.8	26.9	3.11	.66
20.	nerable to criti- cism in teaching. I do not feel a	734	6.0	40.6	46.0	7.4	2.53	.72
	sense of burnout. My job as an educator gives me a great	733	8.0	33.0	46.0	13.0	2.62	.81
	deal of personal satisfaction.	735	1.4	12.7	61.1	24.9	3.10	•65
22.	I feel that most other educators are not more satisfied							
	with their jobs than I am.	732	1.5	9.0	66.4	23.1	3.11	.60
						table	cont	lnues



		Percent							
	Indicator	n	Strongly Disagrae		Agree	Strongly Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.	
Tea	ching as a Profession						<u> </u>		
23.	The salary of this								
24.	job is adequate. Adequate promotional	736	5 16.4	41.3	38.6	3.7	2.29	.79	
# W *	opportunities in								
	education exist.	734	26.7	20.1	29.6	4.6	2.12	.85	
25.	Society has realis-								
	tic expectations of me.	730	12.1	37.9	46.0	4.0	2.41	.76	
26.	Teachers have ap-	,,,	,	37.3	70.0	4.0	4.74	. 70	
	propriate profes-					•			
	sional status								
	within society.	736	3 27.0	48.5	22.8	1.6	1.99	.75	
	ir Own Competence nd Effectiveness								
27.	I feel competent								
	in my teaching								
	position.	745	5 .5	1.3	41.5	56.6	3.54	.56	
28.	I am effective (able								
	to get students to learn as desired).	733	3 1.0	14.9	74.1	10.1	2.93	.53	
29.	I feel adequately				****	4014	****	• 55	
	prepared to teach								
	this program.	739	9.9	3.4	53.2	42.5	3.38	. 59	
The	ir Own Careers								
30.	My long range oc- cupational goal is to continue teaching								
31.	this program. If I come into enough money so that I can live comfortably without working, I will not quit my	728	3 3.4	21.8	52.5	22.3	2.93	.76	
	job.	728	3 23.1	28.3	37.0	11.7	2.35	.96	
	-	"							
						tabl	e cont	inues	



Percent

To Alt		Strongly			Strongly		Std.
Indicator	n	Disagree	Agree	Agree	Agree	Mean	Dev.
32. I do not often think		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
of changing jobs.	732	6.1	23.1	48.8	22.0	2.86	.83
seing Appreciated							
33. I feel appreciated							
by parents for my work.	727	3.6	24.6	62.7	9.1	2.76	
34. I feel appreciated	. = /	3.0	A-1.U	V4.1	3.7	2./5	.66
by my colleagues for my work.	728	3.3	21.2	62 2	32.2	2.86	
·	/ A. C.		~~·~	03.3	B2. 2	2.86	.66
School Administration					•		
5. I feel appreciated							
by administrators for my work.	731	12.6	26.8	A77 3	•••		
6. I am provided ade-	,31	12.0	20.0	47.3	13.3	2.62	.88
quate administrative support and backing.	734	9.4	23.3	50.0	17.3	2.74	0.5
7. The administrators in my school are		204		30.0	17.3	2.14	.85
strong.	725	11.7	32.6	45.0	10.8	2.54	.84
8. I feel encouragement	-						
for my initiatives.	727	4.5	33.0	57.6	4.8	2.62	- 64
otal	745	.1	15.0	81.5	3.4	a 107.67	12.00
		• •	2010		3.4	701.01	13.02
Strongly Disagree = 38			Agree	= 114			

a Strongly Disagree = 38
Disagree = 76

Strongly Agree = 152



Table 2 Job Satisfaction of Retired Secondary Northwest Ohio Vocational Educators by Job Satisfaction Indicators

		Percent								Contributing to Leaving		
	Indicator	n	Strongly Disagree		Agree	Strongly Agree	a Mean	Std.	No.	•		
The	eir Assignments	·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1.	I was not assigned too many school											
2.	duties. My classes were not	35	2.9	20.0	40.0	37.1	3.11	.83	0	0		
3.	too large. I was assigned appro- priate extracurric-	35	5	17.1	34.3	48.6	3.31	.76	0	0		
4.	ular activities. My classes were not	35	8.6	5.7	31.4	54.3	3.31	.93	2	5.6		
	too small.	33	3	9.1	33.3	57.6	3.48	.67	0	0		
	ributes of the Job and School											
5. 6.	That job cffered adequate challenges. The facilities, equipment, materials, and/or supplies were	35	2.9		31.4	65.7	3.60	.65	1	2.8		
7.	adequate. The hours of that job	35	2.9	17.1	28.6	51.4	3.29	.86	2	5.6		
8.	were satisfactory. I was satisfied with the location of that	35	2.9	5.7	42.9	48.6	3.37	.73	1	2.8		
9.	school. The amount of preparation time re-	35	i	5.7	25.7	68.6	3.63	.60	0	0		
	quired for that program was fair.	34	5.9	17.6	55.9	20.6	2.91	.79	1	2.8		

Scale: 1 = Strongly Disagree 3 = Agree

2 = Disagree

4 = Strongly Agree

table continues

	Percent							Contributi to Leavin	
Indicator		Strongly Eisagree		Agree	Strongly Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.	No.	•
10. What was expected of me was realistic.	35	2.9	11.4	40.0	45.7	3.29	.79	0	0
Students					•				
 Appropriate students were placed in my classes. I felt appreciated 	34	11.8	29.4	38.2	20.6	2.68	.94	2	5.6
by students for my work. 13. Student discipline	35	5.7	14.3	48.6	31.4	3.06	.84	6	16.7
was fine. 14. Students were interested in what I	34	2.9	26.5	38.2	32.4	3.00	.85	5	13.9
taught.	35	5.7	14.3	48.6	31.4	3.06	.84	3	8.3
Teaching									
15. I liked teaching.16. I was not bored in that teaching	35	2.9	2.9	14.3	80.0	3.71	.67	1	2.8
position. 17. I did not feel	35	2.9	5.7	22.9	68.6	3.57	.74	1	2.8
isolated. 18. I was satisfied	35		8.6	22.9	68.6	3.60	.65	1	2.8
with that job. 19. I did not feel vul- nerable to criti-	35	2.9		25.7	71.4	3.66	.64	0	0
cism in teaching. 20. I did not feel a	35	2.9	14.3	54.3	28.6	3.09	.74	0	0
sense of burnout. 21. My job as an education gave me a great deal of personal	35	20.0	17.1	40.0	22.9	2.66	1.06	11	30.6
satisfaction. 22. I felt that most other educators were not more satisfied with their jobs	34	2.9	14.7	47.1	35.3	3.15	.78	4	11.1
than I was.	34	2.9	2.9	41.2	52.9	3.44	.70	0	0
							<u>t</u> a	able	continues



		Percent							ributin Leaving
Indicator		Strongly Disagree		Agr ae	Strongly Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.	No.	8
eaching as a Profession									
3. The salary of that job was adequate. 4. Adequate promotional	34	11.8	32.4	50.0	5.9	2.50	.79	1	2.8
opportunities in education existed. Society had realis-	33	3.0	33.3	48.5	15.2	2.76	.75	0	0
tic expectations of me. 5. Teachers had ap-	35	2.9	11.4	54.3	31.4	3.14	.73	1	2.8
propriate profes- sional status within society.	34	17.6	23.5	44.1	14.7	2.56	.96	1	2.8
neir Own Competence and Effectiveness									
. I felt competent in my teaching									
position. I. I was effective (able	35	2.9		14.3	82.9	3.77	.60	0	0
to get students to learn as desired). I felt adequately	34	5.9	11.8	50.0	32.4	3.09	.83	5	13.9
prepared to teach that program.	35	2.9		37.1	60.0	3.54	.66	0	0
eir Own Careers . My long range oc-	÷								
cupational goal was to continue teaching that program.	3 34	2.9	8.8	26.5	61.8	3 47	.79	0	0
came into enough money so that I could live	. 74	2.7	0.0	20.3	61.6	3.47	. / >	Ū	Ü
comfortably without working, I would not quit my job.	33	3 6.1	33.3	33.3	27.3	2.82	.92	0	o
								table	contin



		Percent								Contributing to Leaving		
I	ndicator		Strongly Disagree		Agree	Strongly Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.	No.	•		
	did not often think				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
oi	f changing jobs.	34	2.9	8.8	38.2	50.0	3.35	.77	0	Q		
Being	Appreciated					·						
	felt appreciated											
	y parents for my	25		20.0	40.5							
	felt appreciated	35		20.0	40.0	40.0	3.20	.76	1	2.8		
	my colleagues											
fo	or my work.	35		5.7	48.6	45.7	3.40	.60	0	O		
School	Administration					*						
35. I	felt appreciated					•						
	y administrators											
	or my work. was provided ade-	35	14.3	22.9	28.6	34.3	2.83	1.07	6	16.7		
	nate administrative											
នប	ipport and backing.	35	22.9	8.6	34.3	34.3	2.80	1.16	5	13.9		
	ne administrators											
	my school were		45.0	26.4	22.2							
	felt encouragement;	33	15.2	36.4	33.3	15.2	2.48	.94	4	11.1		
	or my initiatives.	34	5.9	26.5	47.1	20.6	2.82	.83	2	5.6		
Total		35		8.3	69.4	22.2 1	a 20.69			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
a 20												
78 =	Strongly Disagree			114 =	Agree							

^{38 =} Strongly Disagree 76 = Disagree



^{114 =} Agree

⁼ Disagree 152 = Strongly Agree

Table 3

Job Satisfaction of Former Secondary Northwest Ohio Vocational Educators Who Left Their

Position for Ressons Other Than Retirement by Job Satisfaction Indicators

			Percent							Contributing to Leaving	
	Indicator	n	Strongly Disagrae		Agree	Strongly Agree	a Kean	Std. Dev.	No.	*	
The	ir Assignments					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			**		
1.	I was not assigned too many school										
2.	duties. My classes were not	79	6.3	8.9	51.9	32.9	3.11	.82	6	7.5	
3.	too large. I was assigned appropriate extracurric-	80	1.3	7.5	50.0	41.3	3.31	.67	3	3.8	
4.	ular activities. My classes were not	80	3.8	5.0	45.0	46.3	3.34	.75	3	3.8	
	too small.	80	5.0	6.3	57.5	31.3	3.15	.75	3	3.8	
*****	ributes of the Job and School										
5. 6.	That job offered adequate challenges. The facilities, equipment, materials,	80	1.3	13.8	43.8	41.3	3.25	.74	3	3.8	
	and/or supplies were adequate.	80	10.0	17.5	41.3	31.3	2.94	.95	8	10.0	
7. 8.	The hours of that job were satisfactory. I was satisfied with	80	2.5	8.8	45.0	43.8	3.30	.74	2	2.5	
9.	the location of that school. The amount of preparation time re-	80		8.8	36.3	55.0	3.46	.65	1	1.3	
	quired for that program was fair.	80	10.0	16.3	52.5	21.3	2.85	.87	6	7.5	

Scale: 1 = Strongly Disagree

table continues



^{3 =} Agree

^{2 =} Disagree

^{4 =} Strongly Agree

				Contributing to Leaving						
	Indicator		Strongly Disagree		Agree	Strongly Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.	No.	•
10.	What was expected of me was realistic.	80	8.8	15.0	47.5	28.8	2.96	.89	6	7.5
Stu	dents									
11.	Appropriate students were placed in my									
12.	Classes. I felt appreciated by students for	80	18.8	26.3	40.0	15.0	2.51	.97	7	8.8
13.	my work. Student discipline	80	7.5	20.0	30.0	42.5	3.08	.96	7	8.8
	was fine. Students were inter- ested in what I	80	13.8	22.5	28.8	35.0	2.43	1.08	15	18.8
	taught.	80	5.0	17.5	50.0	27.5	3.00	.81	4	5.0
Teac	ching									
	I liked teaching. I was not bored in that teaching	80		8.8	15.0	76.3	3.68	.63	4	5.0
17.	position. I did not feel	80	1.3	13.8	33.8	51.3	3.35	.76	8	10.0
	isolated. I was satisfied	80	10.0	7.5	36.3	46.3	3.19	.96	5	6.3
19.	with that job. I did not feel vul- nerable to criti-	80	7.5	21.3	28.8	42.5	3.06	.9 7	10	12.5
	cism in teaching.	79	3.8	12.7	51.9	31.6	3.11	.77	3	3.8
	sense of burnout. My job as an educa- tor gave me a great	79	12.7	36.7	24.1	26.6	2.65	1.01	15	18.8
22.	deal of personal satisfaction. I felt that most other educators were not more satisfied with their jobs	80	7.5	23.8	30.0	38.8	3.00	.97	6	7.5
	than I was.	80	2.5	15.0	52.5	30.0	3.10	.74	1	1.3



		Contributing to Leaving							
Indicator		Strongly Disagree		Agree	Strongly Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.	No.	•
eaching as a Profession									
3. The salary of that									
job was adequate. Adequate promotional opportunities in	79	12.7	24.1	43.0	20.3	2.71	.94	5	6.3
education existed. 5. Society had realis-	78	14.1	33.3	26.9	25.6	2.64	1.02	12	15.0
tic expectations of me. 5. Teachers had ap-	80	2.5	16.3	55.0	26.3	3.05	.73	3	3.8
propriate profes- sional status within society.	80	16.3	43.8	27.5	12.5	2.36	.90	4	5.0
neir Own Competence and Effectiveness									
7. I felt competent									
in my teaching position. 3. I was effective (able	80	2.5	1.3	25.0	71.3	3.65	.64	1	1.3
to get students to learn as desired). I felt adequately	80	1.3	11.3	38.8	48.8	3.35	.73	2	2.5
prepared to teach that program.	80	2.5	1.3	30.0	66.3	3.60	.65	1	1.3
neir Own Careers			•						
O. My long range oc- cupational goal was to continue teaching									
that program. 1. I felt that if I came into enough money so that I could live comfortably without	80	8.8	18.8	41.3	31.3	2.95	.93	5	6.3
working, I would not quit my job.	80	8.8	21.3	38.8	31.3	2.93	.94	0	0



			Contributing to Leaving						
Indicator		Strongly Disagree		Agree	Strongly Agree	/ Mean	Std. Dev.	No.	
32. I did not often									
of changing job	80	5.0	30.0	37.5	27.5	2.88	.88	4	5.0
Being Appreciated									
33. I felt appreciat									
by parents for a work.	11 7 80	6.3	17.5	42.5	33.8	3.04	.88	5	6.3
34. I felt appreciat		~	** ***	44.5	J., U	3.04	. 80	Ð	0.3
by my colleagues									
for my work.	80	5.0	21.3	42.5	3 1. 3	3.00	.86	4	5.0
School Administration	<u>on</u>								
35. I felt appreciat									
by administrator		24.2							
for my work. 36. I was provided a	08 -abs	26.3	23.8	31.3	18.8	2.43	1.08	22	27.5
quate administra									
support and back		21.3	31.3	22.5	25.0	2.51	1.09	21	26.3
37. The administrato	_		 -				****	£. 4	20.5
in my school wer	re								
strong.		26.6	25.3	27.8	20.3	2.42	1.09	17	21.3
38. I felt encourage		_	_						
for my initiation	ves. 80	16.3	37.5	33.8	12.5	2.43	.91	14	17.5
Total						۵	•		
IOLAI	80		15.0	67.5	17.5	14.19	17.83		_

a Strongly Disagree = 38 Disagree = 76

Agree = 114 Strongly Agree = 152



Table 4 Job Satisfaction Indicators: Nine Factor Model

	Factor Loadin
factor 1 - Perceptions of students, parents, and the	
public toward my work	
I felt appreciated by students for my work.	.69
Student discipline was fine.	.68
Society had realistic expectations of me.	.66
Students were interested in what I taught.	.44
I did not feel vulnerable to criticism in teaching.	.71
I felt appreciated by parents for my work.	.82
Factor 2 - Support by school personnel	
T folt appropriated by administration for my small	00
I felt appreciated by administrators for my work.	.92
I was provided adequate administrative support and bac	king90
The facilities, equipment, materials, and/or supplies	45
were adequate.	.47
I felt appreciated by my colleagues for my work.	.37
The administrators in my school were strong.	.84
I felt encouragement for my initiatives.	.71
Factor 3 - Feeling toward teaching	
I liked teaching.	.93
I was not bored in that teaching position.	.91
I did not feel a sense of burnout.	.52
My job as an educator was giving me sufficient persona	1
satisfaction.	.64
Factor 4 - Expectations or me	
I was not assigned too many school duties.	.76
My classes were not too large.	.77
I did not feel isolated.	.40
I was not assigned inappropriate extra-curricular	
activities.	.73
What was expected of me was realistic.	.43
The hours of that job were satisfactory.	.43
Factor 5 - Satisfaction with that teaching position	
I was satisfied with that job.	.39
I did not often think of changing jobs.	.71
I did not feel that most other educators were more	•
satisfied with their jobs than I was.	.84



Factor 6 - Challenge of that teaching position	
Adequate promotional opportunities in education exist.	.42
My classes were not too small.	.87
That job offered adequate challenges.	.54
I was tatisfied with the location of that school.	.56
The students were placed appropriately in my classes.	.28
Factor 7 - Teaching effectiveness	
I felt competent in my teaching position.	.68
I was effective.	.53
I felt adequately prepared to teach that program.	.87
Factor 8 - Effort required	
My long range occupational goal was to continue teaching that program.	.43
If I came into enough money so that I could live comfortably without working, I would not have quit	• 4-2
that job.	.37
The amount of preparation time required for that program	
was fair.	.87
Factor 9 - Status in society	
Teachers have an appropriate professional status within	
society.	.61
The salary of that job was adequate.	.81



Table 5
Two-Way Analyses of Variance for Factors by Former Teachers (Retired or Not Retired) Which Were Significant at the .05 Level

The state of the s	****						
Source of variation	df	Y	P	N	Mean	Tukey's Test	
Factor 2 - Support by School							
Personnel	1	8.56	.0042				
Retired				31	.4250	A	
Did Not Retire				73		В	
Factor 5 - Satisfaction with							
That Teaching Position	1	10.15	.0019				
Retired				31	.4595	A	
Did Not Retire					1951	B	
Factor 6 - Challenge	1	8.53	.0031		·		
Retired				31	. 4395	A	
Did Not Retire					1866	В	



Table 6
Means and Standard Deviations for Discriminating Variables

Group

Discriminating Variable	Not-Retired (n=80)	Retired (n=36)
	(12-00)	(11-36)
1. I was not assigned too many school dutie	s. 3.11 ^a	3.11
	(.82)	(.83)
2. My classes were not too large.	3.31	3.31
	(.67)	(.76)
	(000)	(0,0)
3. I was assigned appropriate extra-	3.34	3.31
curricular activities.	(.75)	(.93)
. My classes were not too small.	3.15	3.48
	(.75)	(• 67)
. This job offered adequate challenges.	3.25	3.60
	(.74)	(.65)
5. The facilities, equipment, materials,	2.94	3.29
and/or supplies were adequate.	(.95)	(.86)
. The hours on that job were satisfactory.	3.30	3.37
_	(.74)	(.73)
3. I was satisfied with the location of tha	t 3.46	3.63
school.	(.65)	(.60)
The amount of preparation time for that	2.85	2.91
program was fair.	(.87)	(.79)
	(,,,	(.,,,
.0. What was expected of me was realistic.	2.96	3.29
	(.89)	(.79)
1. Appropriate students were placed in my	2.51	2.68
clarses.	(.97)	(.94)
	•	• •
2. I felt appreciated by students for my wor	rk. 3.08	3.06
	(.96)	(-84)
3. Student discipline was fine.	2.43	3.00
	(1.08)	(.85)
.4. Students were interested in what I taught	- 2.00	
were Threrested IN MUSE I Eaddu		3.06
	(.81)	(.84)

Strongly Disagree = 1

Agree = 3

Disagree = 2

Strongly Agree = 4

Group

Discriminating Variable	Not Retired (n=80)	Retired (n=36)
15. I liked teaching		
15. 1 liked teaching	3.68	3.71
	(.63)	(.67)
16. I was not bored in that teaching position	n. 3.35	3.57
	(.76)	(.74)
17. I did not feel isolated.	3 10	2 60
inc reer recreation.	3.19 (.96)	3.60
	(.50)	(.65)
18. I was satisfied with that job.	3.06	3.66
	(.97)	(.64)
19. I did not feel vulnerable to criticism	3.11	3.09
in teaching.	(.77)	(.74)
-	()	(./-/
20. I did not feel a sense of burnout.	2.65	2.66
	(1.01)	(1.06)
21. My job was an educator gave me a great de	-1 2.00	2.45
of personal satisfaction.	al 3.00 (.97)	3.15
	(.3/)	(.78)
22. I felt that most other educators were mor	re 3.10	3.44
satisfied with their jobs than I was.	(.74)	(.70)
23. The salary of that job was adequate.	2.71	2.50
and and an one of the same analysis.	(.94)	(.79)
	(***)	(.,,)
24. Adequate promotional opportunities in	2.64	2.76
education exist.	(1.02)	(.75)
25. Society had realistic expectations of me.	3.05	3.14
in the second control of the second control	(.73)	(.73)
	(0.00)	(3.2)
26. Teachers have appropriate professional	2.36	2.56
status within society.	(.90)	(.96)
27. I felt competent in my teaching position.	3.65	3.77
	(.64)	(.60)
20 -	• •	
28. I was effective.	3.35	3.04
	(.73)	(.83)
29. I felt adequately prepared to teach that	3.60	3.54
program.	(.65)	(.66)
20 Mr. 1 and 1 and 1		• •
30. My long range occupational goal was to	2.95	3.47
continue teaching that program.	(.93)	(.79)



Group

Discriminating Variable	Not Retired (n=80)	Retired (n=36)	
31. If I came into enough money so that I could			
have lived comfortably without working, I	2.93	2.82	
would have quit my job.	(.94)	(.92)	
32. I did not often think of changing jobs.	2.88	3.35	
	(.88)	(.77)	
33. I felt appreciated by parents for my work.	3.04	3.20	
	(.88)	(.76)	
34. I felt appreciated by my colleagues for my	3.00	3.40	
work.	(.86)	(.60)	
5. I felt appreciated by administrators for	2.43	2.83	
my work.	(1.08)	(1.07)	
ió. I was provided adequate administrative	2.51	2.80	
support and backing.	(1.09)	(1.16)	
37. The administrators in my school were strong	j. 2.42	2.48	
	(1-09)	(.94)	
8. I felt encouragement for my initiatives.	2.43	2.82	
-	(.91)	(.83)	



Table 7 Summary Data for Discriminant Analysis

			Discriminant Functio	
Variables	<u> </u>	g	Group	Centroids
Q1	.17302	.02773	Not Retired	-0.65560
Q2	.00074	-00485	Retired	1.54383
Q3	19750	04516		
Q4	.31505	.22210		
Q5	.10609	.26464		
Q6	.23880	.19205		
Q7	29295	.10802		
Q8	.15796	.11128		
Q9	.23642	.04966		
Q10	.37296	.22821		
Q11	.09204	.15222		
Q12	.02586	.06926		
Q13	.17282	.15991		
Q14	06937	.07448	•	
Q15	15004	.14137		
Q16	.63.353	.21253		
Q17	.36089	.21802		
Q18	.31557	.33970		
Q19	42325	02608		
Q20	55669	03024		
Q21	20660	.12783		
Q22	.34655	.22791		
Q23	33751	09109		
Q24	22689	.07890		
Q25	05265	.11511		
Q26	.22774	.09940		
Q27	11835	.09219		
Q28	59588	09368		
Q29	13073	04968		
Q30	.52776	.32045		
Q31	07759	02961		
Q32	.03470	.32267		
Q33	.03310	.12323		
Q34	26869	.19824		
Q35	.37432	.21703		
Q36	04820	.18086		
Q37	59044	.06467		
Q38	.39835	.23339		
Eigenvalue	F	lc	Wilks' Lambda	p
1.03198	.712	26488	.4921316	.0166

b = standardized discriminant function coefficient



s = within-groups structure coefficient

Rc = canonical correlation coefficient

Table 8 Classification of Cases

Actual	No. of	. Predicted Group		
Group	Cases	Not Retired	Retirer	
ot Retired	73	64	9	
		87.67%	12.33%	
letired	31	4	27	
		12.90%	87.10%	



Table 9
Plans of Current Northwest Ohio Vocational Education Teachers According to Self-Report Data and Data Calculated Using the Linear Discriminant Function

n	No. Who Will Retire from Current Position	Percent	No. who Will Leave Current Position Prior to Retirement	Percent
711	570	70 1	160	21 0
741	579	78.1	162	21.9
E02	240	۸ ۲۸	324	54.6
	n 741	Retire from Current n Position 741 579	Retire from Current n Position Percent 741 579 78.1	Retire from Leave Current Current Position Prior n Position Percent to Retirement 741 579 78.1 162